

Overcoming Peer Review Language Bias

American Journal Experts

Introduction

As a former academic research advisor, I know how difficult it can be to navigate the publication process. When it comes to journals published in English, authors may be at a disadvantage if English is not their native language or if their manuscript has not benefitted from an unbiased expert review before submission. NewReview™ at AJE was created to help international researchers overcome a very real obstacle to professional advancement — the publication of their research in highly-ranked English-language journals. Our mission is to help our fellow researchers anticipate and address the hurdles of the journal peer review process prior to submission.



The Problem

Language bias has been reported to exist in the peer review process (1-4). Academic mentors and the centers in which they establish their programs are not generally equipped to offer formal instruction in grammar or methods to frame research results in proper English prose; in the same sense, journals are not in the business of correcting papers for logic and organization. Increasingly, universities expect their faculty to publish in highly ranked journals, but may not provide a process to assist authors in meeting the rigorous requirements of top journals. Together, these factors can result in high-quality papers being unjustly rejected.

Address Potential Issues

When we receive your paper, our skilled, field-specific editors focus on improving English grammar and syntax. After a thorough edit of the language, our PhD or MD experts help you anticipate potential criticism with fast, unbiased, and confidential feedback on your work. NewReview™ experts are researchers in your field from top US research universities who have extensive peer review and publishing experience. You will receive helpful comments throughout the text of the manuscript and a comprehensive critique that addresses the overall organization and clarity of writing, experimental design, claims, discussion of results, and conclusions.



American Journal Experts
3211 Shannon Rd | Suite 600 | Durham, NC 27707
support@journalexperts.com | +1 919-321-8687

Conclusion

When it comes to writing a manuscript, no one understands the data more thoroughly than the authors themselves. However, taking the time after a manuscript is written to seek input from knowledgeable associates or a dedicated manuscript review service may help expedite a judicious journal review process.

About the Author

Dr. Marotta (MD, Duke; PhD, Yale) was previously a faculty member of Harvard Medical School and a laboratory chief at the Massachusetts General Hospital. Prior to joining AJE, he was Professor of Neuroscience and Psychiatry and Human Behavior at Brown University.

-
1. Goldbeck-Wood S. Evidence on peer review—scientific quality control or smokescreen? (editorial), *BMJ* 318(7175): 44-45 (1999)
 2. Herrera AJ. Language bias discredits the peer-review system. *Nature* 397: 467 (1999)
 3. Mungra P, Webber P. Peer review process in medical research publications: Language and content comments. *English for Specific Purposes*. 29(1): 43-53 (2010)
 4. Van Leeuwen TN, et al. Language biases in the coverage of the Science Citation Index and its consequences for international comparisons of national research performance. *Language biases in the coverage of the Science Citation Index and its consequences for international comparisons of national research performance*



American Journal Experts
3211 Shannon Rd | Suite 600 | Durham, NC 27707
support@journalexperts.com | +1 919-321-8687